05-44481-rdd Doc 8399-3 Filed 06/25/07 Entered 06/25/07 15:51:22 Exhibit C Pg 1 of 7

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COUR	Γ	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
	x	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 11
DEL DIN CORDOR ATION 1	:	C N 05 44401 (BBB)
DELPHI CORPORATION, et al.,	:	Case No. 05-44481 (RDD)
Debtors.	:	(Jointly Administered)
	:	•
	Y	

ORDER PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 3007 DISALLOWING AND EXPUNGING (A) DUPLICATE OR AMENDED CLAIMS AND (B) <u>PROTECTIVE</u> CLAIMS IDENTIFIED IN FOURTEENTH OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION

("FOURTEENTH OMNIBUS CLAIMS OBJECTION ORDER")

Upon the Fourteenth Omnibus Objection (Procedural) Pursuant To 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 To Certain (A) Duplicate Or Amended Claims And (B) Protective Claims, dated May 22, 2007 (the "Fourteenth Omnibus Claims Objection"), of Delphi Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, debtors and debtors-in-possession in the above-captioned cases (collectively, the "Debtors"); and upon the record of the hearing held on the Fourteenth Omnibus Claims Objection; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY FOUND AND DETERMINED THAT:²

A. Each holder of a claim, (as such term is defined in 11 U.S.C. § 101(5) (as to each, a "Claim") listed on Exhibits A and BExhibit A attached hereto was properly

Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Fourteenth Omnibus Claims Objection.

and timely served with a copy of the Fourteenth Omnibus Claims Objection, a personalized Notice Of Objection To Claim, a copy of the Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 502(b) And Fed. R. Bankr. P. 2002(m), 3007, 7016, 7026, 9006, 9007, And 9014 Establishing (i) Dates For Hearings Regarding Objections To Claims And (ii) Certain Notices And Procedures Governing Objections To Claims (Docket No. 6089) (the "Claims Objection Procedures Order"), the proposed order granting the Fourteenth Omnibus Claims Objection, and the notice of the deadline for responding to the Fourteenth Omnibus Claims Objection. No other or further notice of the Fourteenth Omnibus Claims Objection is necessary.

- B. The Court has jurisdiction over the Fourteenth Omnibus Claims

 Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. The Fourteenth Omnibus Claims

 Objection is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2). Venue of these cases and the Fourteenth Omnibus Claims Objection in this district is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.
- C. The Claims listed on Exhibit A hereto under the column heading "Claim To Be Expunged" are either duplicates of other Claims filed with the Court or have been amended or superseded by later-filed Claims.
- D. The Claims listed on Exhibit B hereto were filed by creditors to protect against future rejection damages (the "Protective Claims").
- <u>D.</u> E. The relief requested in the Fourteenth Omnibus Claims Objection is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties-in-interest.

⁽cont'd from previous page)

Findings of fact shall be construed as conclusions of law and conclusions of law shall be construed as findings of fact when appropriate. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7052.

² DeltaView comparison of pcdocs://chisr01a/547469/3 and pcdocs://chisr01a/547469/5. Performed on 6/25/2007.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. Each "Claim To Be Expunged" listed on Exhibit A hereto is hereby disallowed and expunged in its entirety. Those Claims identified on Exhibit A as "Surviving Claims" shall remain on the Debtors' claims register, but shall remain subject to future objection by the Debtors and other parties-in-interest.
- disallowed and expunged in its entirety, subject to the right of affected creditors set forth on Exhibit B under paragraph 8 of the Bar Date Order to assert a Claim for rejection damages in the event of a rejection of an executory contract or an unexpired lease by the Debtors. With respect to each Claim for which a Response to the Fourteenth Omnibus Claims Objection has been filed and served, and which has not been resolved by the parties, all of which Claims are listed on Exhibits B-1 and B-2 hereto, the hearing regarding the objection to each such Claim is adjourned to a future hearing date to be noticed by the Debtors consistent with and subject to the Claims Objection Procedures Order; provided, however, that such adjournment shall be without prejudice to the Debtors' right to assert that any such Responses were untimely filed or otherwise deficient under the Claims Objection Procedures Order.
- 3. Entry of this order is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to object, on any grounds whatsoever, to any other claims in these chapter 11 cases, or to further object to Claims that are the subject of the Fourteenth Omnibus Claims Objection; provided, however, that solely to the extent that (a) a claimant filed duplicative claims against different Debtors for the same asserted obligation (the "Multiple Debtor").

³ DeltaView comparison of pcdocs://chisr01a/547469/3 and pcdocs://chisr01a/547469/5. Performed on 6/25/2007.

Duplicative Claims") and (b) certain of such claimant's Multiple Debtor Duplicative
Claims are being disallowed and expunged hereby, if one of the Multiple Debtor
Duplicative Claims was originally filed against the correct Debtor, the Debtors shall not
seek to have the claimant's remaining Multiple Debtor Duplicative Claim (the "Remaining
Claim") disallowed and expunged solely on the basis that such Remaining Claim is
asserted against the incorrect Debtor. For the avoidance of doubt, except as expressly
provided in the preceding sentence, the Remaining Claims shall remain subject to further
objection on any grounds whatsoever, including, without limitation, that any such
Remaining Claim is asserted against the incorrect Debtor if the claimant did not file a
Multiple Debtor Duplicative Claim against the correct Debtor. Nothing contained herein
shall restrict the Debtors from objecting to any Remaining Claim or restrict any holder of a
Remaining Claim from seeking relief from this Court for the purposes of requesting that
this Court modify the Remaining Claim to assert such Remaining Claim against a different
Debtor.

- 4. Nothing contained herein shall constitute, nor shall it be deemed to constitute, the allowance of any Claim asserted against any of the Debtors.
- 5. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over the Debtors and the holders of Claims subject to the Fourteenth Omnibus Claims Objection to hear and determine all matters arising from the implementation of this order.
- 6. Each of the objections by the Debtors to each Claim addressed in the Fourteenth Omnibus Claims Objection and set forth on Exhibits A, B-1, and B-2 hereto constitutes a separate contested matter as contemplated by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9014. This order shall be deemed a separate order with respect to each Claim that is the subject of the

⁴ DeltaView comparison of pcdocs://chisr01a/547469/3 and pcdocs://chisr01a/547469/5. Performed on 6/25/2007.

05-44481-rdd Doc 8399-3 Filed 06/25/07 Entered 06/25/07 15:51:22 Exhibit C Pg 5 of 7

Fourteenth Omnibus Claims Objection. Any stay of this order shall apply only to the contested matter which involves such Claim and shall not act to stay the applicability or finality of this order with respect to the other contested matters covered hereby.

7. Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC is hereby directed to serve this order, including exhibits, in accordance with the Claims Objection Procedures Order.

⁵ DeltaView comparison of pcdocs://chisr01a/547469/3 and pcdocs://chisr01a/547469/5. Performed on 6/25/2007.

05-44481-rdd Doc 8399-3 Filed 06/25/07 Entered 06/25/07 15:51:22 Exhibit C Pg 6 of 7

8. The requirement under Rule 9013-1(b) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York for the service and filing of a separate memorandum of law is deemed satisfied by the Fourteenth Omnibus Claims Objection.

Dated: New York, New York
June ____, 2007

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

Document comparison done by DeltaView on Monday, June 25, 2007 3:37:04 PM

Input:	
Document 1	pcdocs://chisr01a/547469/3
Document 2	pcdocs://chisr01a/547469/5
Rendering set	Option 3a strikethrough double score no moves

Legend:	
Insertion	
Deletion	
< Moved from >	
> <u>Moved to</u> <	
Style change	
Format change	
Moved deletion	
Inserted cell	
Deleted cell	
Moved cell	
Split/Merged cell	
Padding cell	

Statistics:		
	Count	
Insertions		7
Deletions		7
Moved from		0
Moved to		0
Style change		0
Format changed		0
Total changes		14

DeltaView comparison of pcdocs://chisr01a/547469/3 and pcdocs://chisr01a/547469/5. Performed on 6/25/2007.